Politics Are All Essentially Theological

A Christian Reflection on Worldview, Political Responsibility, and Voting

Dr. Rob Covell

Introduction

I have often reflected on the proposition that all politics is essentially theological. What do I mean by this statement? I am suggesting that every political philosophy, institution, and expression of governance operates from underlying assumptions about reality, human nature, morality, authority, and the common good. These assumptions are not always explicitly religious, but they are fundamentally philosophical and, from a Christian perspective, worthy of theological examination.

Every Christian approaches the world through a worldview informed by Biblical truth. The foundational doctrines expressed in the Nicene Creed establish our understanding of God as Creator, Jesus Christ as Lord, the Holy Spirit, the Church, and the coming Kingdom of God. These convictions are not simply theological propositions reserved for Sunday worship. Rather, they inform our understanding of human dignity, righteousness, justice, authority, and our responsibilities within society.

Our theology informs our worldview, our worldview shapes our values, and our values influence our lived experiences and political decisions.

The question, therefore, is not whether Christians should allow their theology to inform their political participation, but how they can faithfully apply Biblical truth while recognizing the complexities of political institutions and public policy.

Augustine: The City of God and the Limits of Political Authority

Augustine's The City of God provides an important theological framework for understanding the relationship between Christianity and political institutions. Augustine (2003) distinguishes between the City of God and the earthly city, identifying two communities shaped by fundamentally different loves and ultimate allegiances.

For Augustine, earthly political institutions exist within a fallen world. Governments can preserve order, restrain injustice, and contribute to temporal peace, but they cannot produce the ultimate righteousness associated with the Kingdom of God.

This distinction is essential for Christian political engagement. The Christian recognizes the legitimate function of government without confusing political authority with divine sovereignty.

Jesus provides a similar distinction in Matthew 22:21 when He instructs His listeners to render to Caesar what belongs to Caesar and to God what belongs to God. Likewise, Romans 13:1–7 acknowledges the role of governing authorities, while Acts 5:29 reminds Christians that obedience to God takes precedence when human authority conflicts with divine commands.

Augustine's theological framework challenges Christians to participate responsibly in political institutions without placing their ultimate hope in political outcomes.

Abraham Kuyper: The Lordship of Christ Over Every Sphere

Abraham Kuyper expands our understanding of Christian worldview by emphasizing the comprehensive sovereignty of Jesus Christ over creation and human society.

In his Lectures on Calvinism, Kuyper (1931) argues that Christianity is not merely a private religious experience but a comprehensive life-system influencing culture, education, science, politics, and social institutions.

Kuyper's understanding of sphere sovereignty recognizes that institutions such as the family, Church, government, education, and economic life possess distinct responsibilities. Each operates within its proper sphere of authority while remaining accountable to God.

This perspective corresponds with Colossians 1:16–17, which teaches that all things were created through Christ and for Christ, and that all things hold together in Him.

Likewise, Psalm 24:1 declares that the earth and everything in it belong to the Lord.

For Christians, political participation is therefore not disconnected from spiritual formation or discipleship. Our Biblical worldview influences how we understand institutional authority, social responsibility, justice, and human flourishing.

However, recognizing Christ's sovereignty over every sphere does not mean that the Church and the State possess identical responsibilities. Rather, Kuyper's framework encourages Christians to understand the distinct functions of institutions while recognizing their ultimate accountability to God.

Reinhold Niebuhr: Christian Realism and Political Responsibility

Reinhold Niebuhr contributes another essential dimension to Christian political theology through his understanding of Christian realism.

In Moral Man and Immoral Society, Niebuhr (1932) argues that individuals and social groups frequently behave differently. Even when individuals possess moral intentions, institutions and collective interests can perpetuate injustice, self-interest, and abuses of power.

Niebuhr's analysis reflects the Biblical understanding of humanity as created in God's image while simultaneously affected by sin.

Genesis 1:26–27 establishes the intrinsic value of human beings, while Romans 3:23 reminds us that all have sinned and fall short of God's glory.

These two Biblical truths must remain in tension when evaluating political institutions. Human beings possess inherent dignity, yet human institutions remain vulnerable to corruption, distorted interests, and the misuse of authority.

Christian realism therefore challenges believers to avoid political idealism. No political party, candidate, ideology, or governmental system is immune from human fallenness.

For Christian leaders, Niebuhr's work encourages moral discernment, institutional accountability, humility, and a willingness to examine the unintended consequences of political decisions.

The Nicene Creed, Biblical Worldview, and Voting

The Nicene Creed provides a shared theological foundation for Christians across many ecclesiastical traditions. Its affirmation of God as Creator establishes a theological basis for understanding creation and human existence. Its confession of Jesus Christ as Lord establishes the ultimate authority of Christ. Its declaration concerning the coming Kingdom reminds believers that earthly political institutions are temporal rather than ultimate.

These theological commitments have implications for political participation, including voting.

Micah 6:8 calls God's people to practice justice, love kindness, and walk humbly with God. Jeremiah 29:7 instructs the exiles to seek the welfare of the city in which they live. First Timothy 2:1–2 encourages believers to pray for those exercising governmental authority.

Taken together, these Scriptures establish a Biblical framework for responsible civic participation.

Voting, within a democratic society, can be understood as an expression of Christian stewardship and moral responsibility. However, Christians must distinguish between foundational Biblical convictions and the prudential judgments required to translate those convictions into public policy.

Two Christians may affirm the same Nicene theology and nevertheless disagree concerning taxation, economic policy, healthcare, immigration, or the proper limits of governmental authority.

Such disagreements do not necessarily indicate that one Christian has abandoned Biblical truth. They may reflect different interpretations of political realities, competing responsibilities, or alternative approaches to achieving legitimate social goods.

The responsibility of Christian leaders is not to replace Biblical theology with political ideology, but to examine political ideas through the lens of Biblical truth, wisdom, and moral discernment.

Conclusion: Theology Must Inform Politics, Not Become Subordinate to It

When I suggest that all politics is essentially theological, I am making a claim about the foundational assumptions that shape human political behavior and institutions.

Augustine reminds us that earthly political institutions cannot establish the eternal City of God. Kuyper teaches that the Lordship of Jesus Christ extends over every sphere of human existence. Niebuhr challenges us to recognize the realities of human fallenness and institutional self-interest.

Together, these perspectives offer Christians a framework for political engagement that is theologically grounded, institutionally responsible, and morally discerning.

As Christians, we must resist the temptation to interpret Biblical truth through the lens of our preferred political ideology. Rather, our political convictions should remain subject to the transforming authority of Scripture.

Romans 12:2 calls believers to resist conformity to the patterns of this world and experience transformation through the renewal of their minds.

Ultimately, our political participation should be informed by our theology, but our theology should never become subordinate to our politics.

Our ultimate allegiance belongs to Jesus Christ, whose Kingdom transcends every earthly political institution.

References

Augustine of Hippo. (2003). City of God (H. Bettenson, Trans.; G. R. Evans, Intro.). Penguin Classics. (Original work published ca. 426 CE).

Kuyper, A. (1931). Lectures on Calvinism. William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company. (Original lectures delivered 1898).

Niebuhr, R. (1932). Moral man and immoral society: A study in ethics and politics. Charles Scribner's Sons.

The Holy Bible, English Standard Version. (2016). Crossway.